

| Date of Meeting | Item | Members wished to make the following recommendations | Response/Comments |
|-----------------|------|---|-------------------|
| | | Members recommended that Officers continue ahead as planned with the car parking review so no further delays are encountered, but to be mindful to act timely and implement phase 2 of residents permit parking if the problematic parking transfers to surrounding areas | |

| | | | |
|--------------------|--|---|--|
| <p>12-Feb-2018</p> | <p>Town Centre Regeneration</p> | <p>Members were concerned that there were no plans in place to install a “changing places” facility at the new Watersports centre at Rest Bay in Porthcawl. Members recommended that Officers review this decision and install one at this premises to ensure there is adequate facility available for disabled adults and insist that as part of the lease of the building that the commercial enterprises manage and finance the facility for public use. Members also recommended that the facility include private changing rooms in addition to communal changing areas.</p> | <p>It has been very difficult to plan for public toilet provision in this facility, as by the time it is built, the current budget for public conveniences may have been cut further as part of the MTFs. As the facility is funded by EU grant, if it is not used for the purpose for which the grant is given, there is a risk of grant clawback. Therefore, careful consideration has been given to ensuring that whatever facilities are designed, are also affordable in the longer term. Public toilets are evidently difficult and expensive to run and maintain, and commercial operators are unlikely to be willing to take on such responsibilities in their leases. A changing places facility has additional specialist equipment which requires a higher level of maintenance and inspection, making it more costly to run. The Council cannot ‘insist’ that a potential leaseholder takes such responsibilities without incurring a high risk that the building will not be let. Again this would result in a clawback risk as well as the loss of an important facility for the local economy.</p> <p>A changing places facility is proposed in the Maritime Centre in Porthcawl Harbour. There are none currently in Bridgend and Maesteg, and these locations would be a higher priority, should Council resources be available to run them, than having a second facility in the same town</p> |
| | | <p>Members recommended a review of the Enforcement vehicle within 6-12 months of implementation to monitor performance and the information to be fed back to Members</p> | |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | <p>Members requested the following further information to be sent to them:</p> | |
| | | <p>How many seasonal car parking passes had been purchased by the public for the Local Authority car parks. Members would also like to know the costs and frequency available to purchase</p> | |
| | | <p>The criteria on how the locations for residents permit parking are decided and what formula and criteria is applied to determine the areas. Officers to also forward this on to Town and Community Councils for information</p> | |
| | | <p>An example rota of the Civil Enforcement Officer</p> | |
| | | <p>Clarification of the powers of the PCSOs and Police Officers in the Borough as there was a disparity of powers in this Authority and others across Wales in relation to parking offences.</p> | |
| | | <p>A definitive timeline of the implementation of the enforcement vehicle including when it will come into use and also requested to see the terms of use of the vehicle. Members also asked for further clarification as to what traffic offences the vehicle would be able to capture, including offences such as tax, insurance and MOT checks</p> | |
| | | <p>How much of the Business Rates charged to business owners come back into the Local Authority and what local services they contribute to</p> | |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | How many blue disabled parking badges has the Authority issued to date | |
| | | Members welcomed the review of the de-pedestrianisation of Bridgend Town Centre and requested clarification as to when this was going to happen – members would like to see timescales of any further consultations that need to be undertaken, funding constraints and design plans. | |
| | | Members asked if charging the public to park in Pencoed Town will be included within the Car Parking review to ensure fairness amongst the towns in the Borough | |